

Zentrum für Material- und Küstenforschung

# *Final Draft* of the original manuscript:

Wieland, D.C.F.; Degen, P.; Paulus, M.; Schroer, M.A.; Bieder, S.; Sahle, C.J.; Moeller, J.; Leick, S.; Chen, Z.; Struth, B.; Rehage, H.; Tolan, M..: **Formation of iron containing aggregates at the liquidair interface** In: Colloids and Surfaces B (2013) Elsevier

DOI: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2013.03.006

# <sup>1</sup> Formation of iron containing aggregates at the liquid-air <sup>2</sup> interface

D.C. Florian Wieland<sup>a,b</sup>, Patrick Degen<sup>c</sup>, Michael Paulus<sup>b</sup>, Martin A. 3 Schroer<sup>b,d</sup>, Steffen Bieder<sup>b</sup>, Christoph J. Sahle<sup>e,b</sup>, Johannes Möller<sup>b</sup>, Sabine Leick<sup>c</sup>, Zhao Chen<sup>b</sup>, Bernd Struth<sup>d</sup>, Heinz Rehage<sup>c</sup>, Metin Tolan<sup>b</sup> 5 <sup>a</sup>Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Max-Planck Straße 1, 21502 Geestacht, Germany 6 <sup>b</sup>Fakultät Physik / DELTA, 44221 Dortmund, Germany <sup>c</sup> Fakultät Chemie, Physikalische Chemie II, Otto-Hahn-Straße 6, 44227 Dortmund, 8 Germany q <sup>d</sup>Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (HASYLAB), Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, 10 Germany 11 <sup>e</sup>Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland 12

# 13 Abstract

The early stages of the formation of inorganic aggregates, composed of iron compounds at the solution-air interface, were investigated in situ. The properties of the solution-air interface were changed by using different Langmuir layers. In order to get insight into the evolution of the sample system in situ, the processes were studied by x-ray scattering and spectroscopy techniques. The formation of aggregates was detected under cationic as well as under anionic Langmuir layers. The observed compounds lack long range order which indicates the formation of amorphous structures. This is supported by extended x-ray absorption fine structure measurements showing only minor order in the formed aggregates.

<sup>14</sup> Keywords: interface, Langmuir films, aggregation

# 15 1. Introduction

The formation of inorganic structures in living organisms is a highly complex process for which an extremely high level of control is essential.[1] Nature utilizes a wide range of mineral polytypes and morphologies in the growth process which are chosen with respect to specific needs and functions.[2] The degree of perfection reached by living organisms is realized by a complex interplay of different physical, chemical, and biological functions which

Preprint automattes! ter Collaids and a Sucharges & (Bicin ter Sugar) February 28, 2013

were developed in a long evolutionary process. Up to now, only a fraction of these complex mechanisms is understood. The formation process is, for instance, controlled by macromolecules directing the type of the crystal, whereas the orientation of the crystal is affected by the interaction with interfaces.[3, 4, 5, 6] Thus, for the understanding of such growth processes and the transfer of growth principles, the influence of interfaces on the nucleation mechanism is crucial.

A large body of work has already been done in the field of nucleation pro-29 cesses occurring at interfaces. Here, aspects such as stereo-chemical match-30 ing or epitaxial growth were discussed as control mechanisms. [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] 31 Recent studies indicate that a mineralization route, which passes through 32 an amorphous precursor phase, is favored. [12, 13, 3, 14, 15, 16, 17] These 33 observations are in agreement with molecular dynamics simulations which 34 show that the type of crystal formed depends solely on the surface charge 35 density. [18] 36

However, most of the cited works suffer from the drawback of being performed ex situ. Removing the samples from the aqueous environment may result in structural modifications. Therefore, in situ measurements investigating directly the interfacial structure are essential to establish a reliable picture of the events which occur at the liquid-air interface.

Due to their potential application, particles made of iron oxides such 42 as magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) or magnetite ( $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) are in the focus of current 43 research. [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24] Here, nature also offers interesting applications 44 such as the avian magnetometer system of birds [25], the magnetosomes of 45 bacteria [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31], or the teeth in the radula of chiton. However, 46 the production of single phase iron oxides in a liquid environment is rather 47 difficult because a large variety of different structures, including oxides and 48 hydroxides, can be formed. 49

Experiments investigating the formation process of iron containing com-50 pounds by the Langmuir-Blodgett technique show the formation of magnetite 51 under Langmuir layers of 1-octadecanol using an using a iron(II) chloride 52 solution. [32] The same study investigated monolayers of octadecyl amine, and 53 no scattering signal from crystalline material was detected. Investigations of 54 the adsorption process of nanoparticles and proteins at Langmuir monolayers 55 show a strong dependence on the electrostatic interaction. [33, 34] Adsorption 56 only occurs if the Langmuir layer has opposite charge than the adsorbant. 57 Thus, for solutions containing iron ions it is reasonable that anionic Lang-58 muir layers aid the growth of inorganic structures by lowering the barrier for 59

a heterogeneous nucleation. In contradiction to this, the work performed by 60 Maas et al. [35] the growth of thin films of iron oxide at Langmuir layers with 61 varying polarity (1- octadecanol, octadecanoic acid, octadecyl amine) could 62 be observed by scanning electron microscopy. This study could not resolve 63 the initial formation process. Also, structural information on the employed 64 Langmuir layers were not accessible. An in situ study, performed on the 65 absorption behavior of iron(III) at a Langmuir monolayer with a carboxylate 66 headgroup, suggests covalent bonding of different complexes. [36, 37] 67

In this work, the aggregation process of iron compounds below lipid mem-68 branes was investigated in situ by means of x-ray reflectivity (XRR), graz-69 ing incidence diffraction (GID), and x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) 70 spectroscopy. Amphiphilic molecules with different composition were used 71 as nucleation sites in order to reveal the effect of a modified surface on the 72 formation process. The selection of the amphiphiles was made on the basis 73 of ex situ studies showing the aggregation at the interface. [35, 32] The struc-74 tural integrity of the lipid film as well as the initial layer formation below the 75 film were analyzed. With GID measurements the two-dimensional crystalline 76 structure of the Langmuir film during the adsorption process was monitored. 77 Furthermore, the formation of crystalline material at the interface can be 78 detected. Complementary, XAFS experiments give information on the local 79 ordering also for non crystalline materials. 80

In the presented sample system, low concentrated iron(III) chloride so-81 lutions were employed. By using higher concentrations, the fast formation 82 process prohibited the observation of the initial stages. At the used concen-83 trations an acceptable supersaturation level for the formation of iron contain-84 ing compounds in the bulk volume can not be achieved. This problem was 85 encountered by lowering the solubility product of the iron species by changing 86 the pH value. To achieve this, ammonia was added to the atmosphere above 87 the sample surface. Ammonia subsequently diffused into the sample's inter-88 face, thus raising the pH value and lowering the solubility product. Due to 89 possible phase transformations, which depend on the chemical environment, 90 other polymorphs, e.g.  $Fe_2O_3$  or  $Fe_3O_4$ , can be formed. [38, 39] 91

#### 92 2. Experimental details

<sup>93</sup> X-ray reflectivity (XRR) measurements are sensitive to the laterally av-<sup>94</sup> eraged electron density of the sample perpendicular to the surface and, thus, <sup>95</sup> provides information of the layer structure.[40] The reflectivity  $R(q_z)$  is given <sup>96</sup> in the kinematical approximation by

$$R(q_z) = R_{\rm F}(q_z) \left| \frac{1}{\rho_s} \int \left( \frac{d\rho(z)}{dz} \right) \exp(iq_z \cdot z) dz \right|^2 \tag{1}$$

<sup>97</sup> with the electron density profile  $\rho(z)$ , the electron density of the substrate <sup>98</sup>  $\rho_s$ , and the Fresnel reflectivity  $R_{\rm F}$ .[41, 42]

Grazing incidence diffraction (GID) measurements yield information about the ordered lateral structure of the sample.[43] The x-ray beam impinges on the surface under a shallow angle, which is below the critical angle of total external reflection. Thus, the scattered intensity originates predominantly from the surface regime because the x-rays enter only a few nanometers into the sample.[44] The intensity is monitored as a function of the wave vector transfer  $q_{||}$  parallel to the sample surface.[43, 45]

In an x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) experiment the modulation 106 of the absorption coefficient  $\mu$  across an absorption edge of a specific ele-107 ment is monitored as a function of energy. [46] The absorption coefficient is 108 modulated by the chemical environment of the element under investigation 109 and information from the local structure around the absorbing atom up to 110 a maximum distance of appropriate 10 Å can be gained. [46] The structure 111 in the vicinity of the absorption edge is called the x-ray absorption near 112 edge structure (XANES) and provides information on the local electronic 113 and chemical structure. If the edge is investigated at much higher energies, 114 the region is called extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS), which 115 is determined mainly by the local structure around the investigated atom. 116 By analyzing the EXAFS signal, information on e.g. the structure of the 117 coordination shells surrounding the adsorbing atom can be obtained. 118

#### 119 2.1. Sample preparation and measurements

Octadecanoic acid (purity > 97%, AppliChem), 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-120 3-ethylphosphocholine (EPC) (purity  $\geq 99\%$ , Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-dipal-121 mitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) (purity > 97%, Sigma) and 1-122 octadecanol (purity  $\geq 96\%$ , Merk) were used. Subphases of iron(III) chloride 123 (purity  $\geq 98\%$ , Merck) were prepared with a concentration of 1 mmol/L and 124 100 mmol/L using ultra pure water (specific resistance 18.2 M $\Omega$ cm). Due to 125 the acid character of iron(III) chloride, the solution with a concentration of 126 1 mmol/L had a pH value of 3.02 and the solution with a concentration of 12 100 mmol/L of 1.48.128

Langmuir films were prepared by spreading the lipid containing chloro-129 form solution drop wise onto the subphase. After 20 minutes for evaporation 130 of chloroform, the films were compressed to different surface pressures  $\Pi$ , 131 which were kept constant during the measurements ( $\Pi = 10 \text{ mN/m}, \Pi = 20$ 132 mN/m, and  $\Pi = 40$  mN/m). In order to check whether the observed struc-133 tural changes at the liquid surface are induced by the aggregation of iron 134 compounds or by the interaction of ammonia with the amphiphilic molecules, 135 reference measurements of Langmuir films on a pure water subphase in the 136 presence of ammonia were conducted. After sample preparation the initial 137 state of the system was characterized by XRR and GID measurements. Then 138 ammonia was added to trigger the aggregation. The evolution of the sample 139 system was monitored by alternatingly performed XRR and GID measure-140 ments. 141

The experiments were performed at beamline BW1 of DORIS III at 142 DESY, Hamburg, Germany [47], using the liquid surface diffractometer and 143 a photon energy of E = 9.5 keV. For the GID measurements an incident an-144 gle of 0.1° was chosen. The used custom made Langmuir trough was placed 145 in a helium flushed chamber in order to suppress air scattering. The Lang-146 muir trough was translated horizontally in order to reduce radiation damage. 14 A similar set-up was used at beamline ID10B of the European Synchrotron 148 Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France (E = 22 keV).[48] Additional 149 XRR measurements were performed with a Bruker AXS D8 advanced labo-150 ratory diffractometer ( E = 8 keV ). 151

XAFS experiments at the iron K-edge were performed at beamline BL8
of DELTA, Dortmund, Germany and beamline A1 of DORIS III at DESY,
Hamburg, Germany.[49, 50] The incoming x-ray beam was tilted towards the
sample resulting in an incident angle of 0.1°. By this, surface sensitivity was
achieved.

The important changes within the reflectivity curves which indicate the 157 formation of inorganic compounds at the interface appear at  $q_z$  lower than 158 0.2 Å<sup>-1</sup>. Here, the statistical error in the data is not higher than 1 % . For 159 the diffraction data, the statistical error for one data point was not higher 160 than 5 %. In the x-ray absorption experiments the statistical error for a data 16 point is not higher than 3%. However, the main uncertainty of the results 162 originate from the complexity of the investigated systems. Thus, in order 163 to check the reproducibility of the results, each sample system was prepared 164 several times. 165



Figure 1: Left: Fresnel normalized reflectivities of an octadecanoic acid film ( $\Pi = 10 \text{ mN/m}$ ) at different times deposited on an iron(III) chloride solution. After a time of t = 75 min ammonia was added. The curves are shifted vertically for clarity. Right: Electron densities obtained by the refinement of x-ray reflectivity data.

#### 166 3. Results and discussion

In order to check the influence of ammonia on the Langmuir monolayers, reference measurements were performed on pure water. These measurements were conducted at a surface pressure of  $\Pi = 20$  mN/m. The concentration of ammonia in the helium atmosphere was tested by diffusion tubes (ISO 9001 purchased from Dräger) yielding a maximum concentration of 100 ppm during the experiments.

The analysis of the XRR data shows minor changes in the vertical density profiles (see in supporting information (SI) the reflectivity data in figure 1 and the resulting electron density profiles in figure 2).

The GID measurements confirm that ammonia has only a destabilizing effect on the lateral structure of the Langmuir layers (see SI). The Langmuir films of DPPC and 1-octadecanol show a decrease of their scattering amplitude accompanied by an increase of the width of the Bragg reflection indicating a shrinking of the crystalline patch size in the illuminated area. The XRR and GID data show that neither strong damage by ammonia to the monolayer nor beam damage can be observed.

The iron compounds, which are present in solutions, can vary significantly depending on the solution condition. The Fe<sup>3+</sup> ion has a sixfold coordination accompanied by a strong tendency for hydrolysation in aqueous solutions. Due to this, a variety of different iron species e.g. (Fe(OH)<sub>3-y</sub>),  $y \neq 4$  or chloro-complexes like [FeCl(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> can be found.[51, 52, 53, 54, 55] In the pH regime of our experiments, the soluble complexes Fe(OH)<sup>+2</sup> and Fe(OH)<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> dominate.[51]



Figure 2: Left: Fresnel normalized reflectivities of an octadecanoic acid film ( $\Pi = 20$  mN/m) at different times deposited on an iron(III) chloride solution. After a time of t = 75 min ammonia was added. The curves are shifted for clarity. After the last scan shown in the figure, the sample was found to be stable. Right: Electron densities obtained by the refinement of x-ray reflectivity data.

In a first step, the influence of the surface pressure on the nucleation pro-190 cess is discussed. A Langmuir monolayer which consists of octadecanoic acid 191 having an anionic headgroup was prepared on an iron(III) chloride solution 192 with a concentration of 1 mmol/L and was compressed to a surface pressure 193 of  $\Pi = 10 \text{ mN/m}$ . Figure 1 shows the electron density profiles obtained from 194 the reflectivity data. The refined profiles show an increase of the headgroup's 195 electron density, which can be explained by an adsorption of single iron(III) 196 complexes at the Langmuir film (see SI), however, no further increase of the 19 electron density below the headgroup within 516 min can be observed. Only 198 a disturbance of the tailgroup structure is visible. 199

The experiment was then repeated with an elevated surface pressure of 20 200 mN/m. The obtained reflectivities and electron density profiles are shown 20 in figure 2. The profiles show an increase of the electron density, which 202 can be attributed to an aggregation of iron compounds at the interface. 203 The electron density of the headgroup structure increases strongly, which 204 indicates a penetration of the iron compounds into the Langmuir layer. In 205 the final state, the tailgroup structure is smeared out. Thus, an aggregation 206 of iron compounds only occurs if the surface pressure is increased above a 207 critical threshold. 208

Figure 3 shows the electron density profiles obtained by the refinement of the XRR data of an EPC monolayer, which possesses a cationic headgroup. The Langmuir layer was compressed to a surface pressure of  $\Pi = 20 \text{ mN/m}$ . The data show that already in the first measurement an increase of the electron density can be observed. This layer has a thickness of 50 Å without the



Figure 3: Left: Fresnel normalized reflectivities of an EPC film ( $\Pi = 20 \text{ mN/m}$ ) at different times deposited on an iron(III) chloride solution. After a time of t = 690 min ammonia was added. The curves are shifted for clarity. After the last scan shown in the figure, the sample was found to be stable. Right: Electron densities obtained by the refinement of x-ray reflectivity data.

addition of ammonia. The formation proceeds until a layer of 160 Å thickness was formed. After t = 690 min ammonia was added in order to check
the saturation of the aggregation process. No further changes were observed.
All density profiles do not reproduce the Langmuir layer's shape indicating
a coalescence of the adsorbed material with the Langmuir layer.

In the case of 1-octadecanol, which has a non-ionic headgroup, an in-219 crease of the headgroup's electron density can be observed compared to the 220 experiments on pure water, see figure 4.After ammonia was added, an fur-22 ther increase of the electron density at the interface can be observed. The 222 electron density under the monolayer shows a plateau region at 0.44 e<sup>-</sup> /Å<sup>3</sup> 223 (see figure 4). The calculated electron density for a closed iron oxide ( $Fe_2O_3$ ) 224 layer is 2.6 e<sup>-</sup> /Å<sup>3</sup> and for a closed layer of Lepidocrocite (FeOOH) is 1.23 e<sup>-</sup> 225  $/\text{Å}^3$ . This indicates that no closed film is formed and only small aggregates 226 accumulate at the interface. 22

In contrast, the system of a zwitterionic DPPC Langmuir layer ( $\Pi =$ 228 20 mN/m) deposited on an iron(III) chloride solution exhibits no formation 229 process. Figure 5 shows the electron densities obtained from the XRR data. 230 Only a disturbance of the monolayer structure is visible. For comparison, the 231 electron density profile of DPPC on water is shown. The electron density 232 of the DPPC layer in the presence of ammonia resembles the shape of the 233 structure on pure water. This indicates that the forming iron species can not 234 bind to the lipid headgroups in the presence of ammonia. This sample system 235 was also investigated by compressing the DPPC layer to a surface pressure of 236  $\Pi = 40 \text{ mN/m}$  (data not shown). The obtained electron density profiles show 23



Figure 4: Left: Fresnel normalized reflectivities of an 1-octadecanol film ( $\Pi = 20 \text{ mN/m}$ ) at different times deposited on an iron(III) chloride solution. After a time of t = 60 min ammonia was added. The curves are shifted for clarity. After the last scan shown in the figure, the sample was found to be stable. Right: Electron densities obtained by the refinement of x-ray reflectivity data.

no aggregation of iron compounds. Accordingly, the zwitterionic layer seems
to suppress the accumulation of aggregates at the interface but a precipitate
could be observed after the experiment.

Figures 6 and 7 show the GID scans of selected samples. The Bragg 241 rods are shown in the supporting information. In the case of the DPPC 242 monolayer, where no mineralization occurs, the GID scan shows a change 243 of the monolayer's tail tilt after the addition of ammonia (see figure 6). In 244 the beginning, the Langmuir layer can be described by a hexagonal unit cell 245 where the tails are in the upright position with a tilt angle of  $\gamma = 0^{\circ}$ . After 246 ammonia is added, a transition to a rectangular unit cell occurs and the 247 tilt angle of the tails increases to  $\gamma = 28$ °. In the course of this change, 248 the area per chain increases from 19.56  $\text{\AA}^2$  to 22.30  $\text{\AA}^2$ . The full width of 249 half maximum of the reflection at  $q_{\parallel} = 1.508 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  slightly decreases, which 250 indicates an increasing size of the lateral patches from (339 Å  $\pm$  6Å ) to 25  $(364 \text{ \AA} \pm 7 \text{ \AA})$ . The state prior to the addition of ammonia differs from the 252 state observed in the reference measurements on pure water. After ammonia 253 is added, the DPPC Langmuir layer adopts the same state as in the reference 254 measurements, which is also in accordance with literature. [56] 255

The lateral structure of the 1-octadecanol film shows only minor changes. The Langmuir layer can be described by a hexagonal unit cell and the position of the reflection is constant at  $q_{\parallel} = 1.520$  Å<sup>-1</sup>, while only the intensity of the reflection decreases. This effect is caused by the ammonia (see reference measurements, SI).

261

For all systems only Bragg reflections from Langmuir layers are observable



Figure 5: Left: Fresnel normalized reflectivities of a DPPC film ( $\Pi = 20 \text{ mN/m}$ ) at different times deposited on a subphase of iron(III) chloride solution. After a time of t = 64 min ammonia was added. The curves are shifted for clarity. After the last scan shown in the figure, the sample was found to be stable. Right: Electron densities obtained by the refinement of x-ray reflectivity data.



Figure 6: GID measurements of a DPPC monolayer on top of a iron(III) chloride solution. The surface pressure for all measurements was  $\Pi = 20 \text{ mN/m}$ . Ammonia was added after a time of t = 50 min. In the beginning the Langmuir layer can be described by a hexagonal unit cell with a 6 fold degenerate diffraction peak at  $q_{||} = 1.508 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . In the presence of ammonia the lattice transforms to a rectangular unit cell where the diffraction peaks can be indexed as the degenerate (1,1) and (1,1) at  $q_{||} = 1.407 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  and the (0,2) diffraction peak can be ascribed to be at  $q_{||} = 1.478 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ .



Figure 7: GID measurements of an 1-octadecanol monolayer on top of iron(III) chloride solution. The diffraction peak is at  $q_{||} = 1.528$  Å<sup>-1</sup>. The surface pressure was  $\Pi = 20$  mN/m. Ammonia was added after a time of t = 60 min.

and none stemming from crystalline iron compounds, which indicates the formation of amorphous aggregates. A diffraction scan of the final state of the sample covering a larger q range is enclosed in the SI and shows only the reflection from the Langmuir layer. The decrease of the scattering intensity shows that the aggregation process advances simultaneously with the degeneration of the Langmuir films.

A Brewster angle microscopy (BAM) image obtained on iron(III) chloride solution under an octadecanoic acid monolayer is shown in figure 8. The image shows bright spots overlapping the reflected signal from the Langmuir layer. Due to the high intensity, the structures are assumed to be inorganic, which is consistent with the formation of iron aggregates at the interface.

As the material aggregated at the interfaces exhibits no long range order, 273 which is indicated by the GID measurements, in situ surface sensitive XAFS 274 experiments were conducted at the iron K-edge in order to collect informa-275 tion on the local ordering of the iron ions. XANES experiments on iron(III) 276 chloride solutions with two different kinds of Langmuir layers and iron(III) 277 chloride concentrations are shown in figure 9. The iron(III) chloride con-278 centrations were 1 mmol/L and 100 mmol/L, respectively. Langmuir layers 279 of octadecanoic acid and 1-octadecanol were used because both showed the 280 formation of a layer with different structure. 28

282

By using octadecanoic acid monolayers on a subphase with a concentra-



Figure 8: BAM image of an octadecanoic acid monolayer in an advanced state of the formation process.

tion of 1 mmol/L iron(III) chloride no changes in the structure of the absorp-283 tion edge can be observed (see figure 9b filled symbols). This indicates that 284 the formed layers, which are seen in the XRR experiments, exhibit no struc-285 tural rearrangement. In the following the experiment was repeated using an 286 increased subphase concentration of 100 mmol/L. These spectra are depicted 287 in figure 9b (open symbols). A change in the near edge structure is visi-288 ble. The intensity of the pre-edge at an energy of 7.11 keV increases, which 289 is caused by a change of the iron coordination to a less centro-symmetric 290 character.[53] The experiments performed on an 1-octadecanol monolayer 291 and subphase concentrations of 1 mmol/L and 100 mmol/L are shown in 292 figure 9a. No changes of the absorption edge can be observed after ammonia 293 was added. From this data it can be concluded that only for octadecanoic 294 acid in combination with a subphase concentration of 100 mmol/L a struc-295 tural ordering can be detected. 296

In order to get access to the local structure of the aggregates, EXAFS 297 scans were conducted on the sample systems of octadecanoic acid and, as a 298 reference, on 1-octadecanol. Subphases with a concentration of 100 mmol/L 299 of iron(III) chloride were used. The  $\chi(k)$  is shown in the supporting informa-300 tion. The Fourier transformed EXAFS signals  $\chi(\mathbf{R})$ , which provide informa-301 tion on the coordination shells surrounding the absorbing atom, are shown 302 in figure 10. For the experiments utilizing the 1-octadecanol monolayer, no 303 changes of the local environment around the absorbing iron atom can 304



Figure 9: XANES measurements on iron(III) chloride solutions and different Langmuir layers. Filled symbols represent a concentration of 1 mmol/L and open symbols a concentration of 100 mmol/L. a) 1-Octadecanol. b) Octadecanoic acid.



Figure 10: Fourier transformed EXAFS data  $\chi(R)$  obtained on samples of 100 mmol/L iron(III) chloride solution . a) 1-octadecanol. b) octadecanoic acid.

<sup>305</sup> be found, in agreement with the XANES experiments. The  $\chi(R)$  shows only <sup>306</sup> one coordination shell around the iron atom, which can be attributed to a <sup>307</sup> reasonable oxygen-iron coordination.

In contrast, the sample with the octadecanoic acid present at the inter-308 face shows the formation of a further coordination shell visible by a second 309 maximum in the  $\chi(\mathbf{R})$ . This indicates an increased order around the iron 310 atoms. Qualitative information about the coordination shells were extracted 311 by fitting the  $\chi(R)$  using the program package Artemis. [57] This analysis 312 shows a minor iron content in the second coordination shell of  $2 \pm 1$  iron 313 atoms on average. For comparison, in the hematite structure five iron atoms 314 are present in the second coordination shell. 315

#### 316 4. Conclusion

XRR measurements revealed the formation of iron compounds at differ-317 ent Langmuir layers. The aggregation is inhibited only at the interface of 318 the zwitterionic DPPC. This is in contrast to the studies of Sarkar et al. [58], 319 which report on the formation of nanoparticles at DPPC monolayers even 320 without ammonia. However, the concentrations and the surface pressures dif-321 fer to our study which may explain the discrepancy. On the other hand, in 322 the mentioned study the aggregation is only observed indirectly by the vari-323 ation of the surface pressure and ex situ TEM images which are no evidence 324 for a particle formation at the interface in situ. 325

The changes in the DPPC Langmuir layer can be explained by a binding 326 of iron complexes to the headgroup as observed by Wang et al. [36] By the 327 addition of ammonia, the iron compounds are removed from the headgroup 328 structure and the DPPC layer adopts the same structure as on a pure water 329 subphase. Similar changes were observed by using divalent ions where a 330 closer arrangement of the lipids could be observed due to a binding of  $Zn^{2+}$ 33 to the phosphate moiety inducing a conformational change. [59] However, this 332 effect was not as strong as for our samples. 333

In the case of Langmuir layers with cationic, anionic and non-ionic head-334 groups an aggregation at the interface was observed. The XRR experiments 335 show the penetration of the Langmuir layer by the compounds. It can be 336 excluded by the XRR measurements that a closed film is formed under the 337 monolayers. It is more likely that small aggregates nucleate at the liquid-air 338 interface. This conclusion is supported by BAM images from the water-air 339 interface showing the formation of isolated inorganic aggregates. Indicators 340 for such a behavior were also observed by other optical ex situ studies. [35] 341

As our experiments were performed at a pH value lower 3, the carboxylate headgroup of octadecanoic acid will not be dissociated as the pK<sub>a</sub> value is 5.3. However, this does not exclude the binding of ions or complexes to the headgroup as this depends on the pH value and ion concentration.[60]

The GID measurements show a stable Langmuir layer during the mineralization process. In all measurements no diffraction signals from crystalline iron oxides were observed. This may indicate the formation of amorphous material since even small aggregates would give rise to a GID signal.

The surface sensitive XAFS measurements show that the layers formed by using subphases of iron(III) chloride with a concentration of 1 mmol/L are amorphous and lack long range order, which is in agreement with the GID experiments. By increasing the iron(III) chloride concentration to 100 mmol/L, the layers formed under octadecanoic acid begin to develop a certain order, which highlights the influence of a cationic headgroup on the formation process.

The observation made by the XAFS and XRR experiments indicate a differing nucleation mechanism at the Langmuir layers depending on the type of headgroup. The structure of the non ionic and anionic formed layers differ significantly from the layers formed at the cationic headgroup. Furthermore, the XAFS experiments show that, at the anionic headgroup, inorganic compounds with an increased local order around the iron atoms exist.

The presented XRR data shows that already at the beginning the head-363 groups of the Langmuir layers exhibit an increased electron density which 364 can be explained by an adsorption of iron species right at the beginning. 365 This was also observed in a study by Wang et al. [36, 61] investigating the 366 interaction of iron(III) with carboxylate headgroups. Iron species bind to the 36 Langmuir layers acting as seed layer. Upon the addition of ammonia, the pH 368 value in the interface region changes, which leads to a further aggregation 369 of iron compounds. It could be observed that the nucleation only occurs 370 if the surface pressure of the Langmuir layer has reached a critical point. 37 This shows that a sufficient density of the Langmuir layer must be achieved 372 for a successful layer formation. The structure of the forming layer can be 373 influenced by the headgroup of the Langmuir film present at the interface. 374 By using carboxylate headgroups, an ordering in the iron oxide layer can be 375 achieved, which is absent when using non ionic headgroups. 376

In summary, we observed the aggregation of amorphous iron compounds 377 under different monolayers. The data shows that a model, which explains 378 the aggregation by simple electrostatic forces between the positively charged 379 iron ions and differently charged head groups resulting in an accumulation or 380 depletion of ions at the interface, cannot explain our observations, because 38 aggregates were observed at anionic, cationic and non-ionic Langmuir layers. 382 Only in the case of the zwitterionic DPPC no formation was observed. The 383 local order inside the amorphous aggregates could be influenced by changing 384 headgroups but is still not as high as in crystalline material. 385

## 386 acknowledgements

We acknowledge the ESRF and HASYLAB (DESY) for providing synchrotron radiation. We also acknowledge Alexei Vorboiev (ID10B) for technical support during the beamtimes. D.C.F.W. and C.Z. thank the NRW
Forschungsschule "Forschung mit Synchrotronstrahlung in den Nano- und
Biowissenschaften" for financial support. M.A.S. and S.B. acknowledge the
BMBF contract No. 05K10PEC for funding. For his work during the ESRF
beamtime we thank Jens Beneken. Ch.S. is supported by the Academy of
Finland (No. 1256211) and the University of Helsinki Research Funds (No.
490076).

### 396 References

- [1] H. Lowenstam, S. Weiner, On Biomineralization, Oxford University
   Press, Oxford, 1989.
- <sup>399</sup> [2] F. Meldrum, Inter. Mater. Rev. 48 (2003) 187.
- <sup>400</sup> [3] A. Xu, Y. Ma, H. Coelfen, J. Mater Chem. 17 (2007) 415.
- <sup>401</sup> [4] N. Sommerdijk, G. de With, Chem. Rev. 108 (2008) 4499.
- [5] S. Mann, D. Archribald, J. Didymus, T. Douglas, B. Heywood, F. Meldrum, N. Reeves, Science 261 (1993) 1286.
- <sup>404</sup> [6] S. Wiener, Crit. Rev. Biochem. 20 (1986) 365.
- [7] K. K. Sand, M. Yang, E. Makovicky, D. J. Cooke, T. Hassenkam,
  K. Bechgaard, S. L. S. Stipp, Langmuir 26 (2010) 15239.
- <sup>407</sup> [8] S. Mann, B. Heywood, S. Rajam, J. Birchall, Nature 334 (1988) 692.
- [9] S. Mann, Biomineralisation; Principles and Concepts in Bioinorganic
   Materials Chemistry, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001.
- <sup>410</sup> [10] S. Mann, Biomimetic Materials Chemistry, VCH, Weinheim, 1996.
- [11] E. DiMasi, V. Patel, M. Sivakumar, M. Olszta, Y. Yang, L. Gower,
  Langmuir 18 (2002) 8902.
- [12] B. P. Pichon, P. H. H. Bomans, P. M. Frederik, N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk,
   J.Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 4034.
- [13] E. M. Pouget, P. H. H. Bomans, A. Dey, P. M. Frederik, G. de With,
   N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 11560.

- [14] M. Fricke, D. Volkmer, Crystallization of calciumm carbonate beneath
  insoluble monolayers: suitable models of mineral-matrix interactions in
  biomineralization, in N. Kensuke, Biomineralization II, Springer-Verlag,
  Berlin/Heidelberg, 2007.
- [15] E. DiMasi, M. Olszta, V. Patel, L. Gowe, Cryst. Eng. Comm. 5 (2003)
   346.
- <sup>423</sup> [16] C. L. Freeman, J. H. Harding, D. M. Duffy, Langmuir 24 (2008) 9607.
- [17] G. K. Hunter, J. O'Young, B. Grohe, M. Karttunen, H. A. Goldberg,
   Langmuir 26 (2010) 18639.
- [18] G. A. Tribello, F. Bruneval, C. Liew, M. Parrinello, Journal of Physical
  Chemistry B 113 (2009) 11680.
- [19] K. S. Patil, V.and Mayya, S. Pradhan, M. Sastry, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
  119 (2009) 9281.
- [20] B. Berkovskyl, V. Bashtovoy, Magnetic Fluids and Applications Hand book, Begell House, New York, 1996.
- <sup>432</sup> [21] A. K. Gupta, M. Gupta, Biomaterials 26 (2005) 3995.
- [22] Q. Pankhurst, J. Connolly, S. Jones, J. J. Dobson, Appl. Phys. 36 (2003)
   167.
- <sup>435</sup> [23] M. Brust, C. Kiely, Colloids Surf. 202 (2002) 175.
- [24] P. Degen, D. Wieland, M. Paulus, M. Schroer, M. Tolan, H. Rehage,
  Colloid Poly. Sci. 291 (2013) 653.
- [25] G. Falkenberg, G. Fleissner, K. Schuchardt, M. Kuehbacher, P. Thalau,
  H. Mouritsen, D. Heyers, G. Wellenreuther, G. Fleissner, PLoS ONE 5 (2010) 9231.
- [26] J. Miot, K. Benzerara, G. Morin, A. Kappler, M. Obst, G. E. Brown,
   Jr., F. Guyot, Acta 73 (2009) A884.
- <sup>443</sup> [27] D. Archibald, S. Mann, Nature 364 (1993) 430.
- <sup>444</sup> [28] S. Mann, J. Hannington, R. Williams, Nature 324 (1986) 565.

- [29] V. Wade, S. Levi, P. Arosio, A. Treffry, P. Harrison, S. Mann, J. Mol.
  Biol. 221 (1991) 1443.
- <sup>447</sup> [30] L. Addadi, S. Weiner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 31 (1992) 153.
- <sup>448</sup> [31] F. Meldrum, B. Heywood, S. Mann, Science 257 (1992) 522.
- <sup>449</sup> [32] H. Lin, J. Cryst. Growth 192 (1998) 250.
- [33] P. Degen, M. Paulus, M. Maas, R. Kahner, S. Schmacke, B. Struth,
  M. Tolan, H. Rehage, Langmuir 24 (2008) 12958.
- <sup>452</sup> [34] S. Tiemeyer, M. Paulus, M. Tolan, Langmuir 26 (2010) 14064.
- [35] M. Maas, P. Degen, H. Rehage, H. Nebel, M. Epple, Colloid Surface A
   354 (2010) 149.
- [36] W. Wang, R. Y. Park, A. Travesset, D. Vaknin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106
   (2011) 56102.
- [37] W. Wang, R. Park, D. Meyer, A. Travesset, D. Vaknin, Langmuir 27 (2011) 11917.
- [38] D. Fu, P. G. Keech, X. Sun, J. C. Wren, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 13
   (2011) 18523.
- [39] U. Schwertmann, R. Cornell, Ironoxides in the Laboratory, VCH Pub lischers, New York, 2001.
- <sup>463</sup> [40] S. Sinha, E. Sirota, S. Garoff, H. Stanley, Phys. Rev. B 38 (1988) 2297.
- <sup>464</sup> [41] M. Tolan, X-ray Scattering from Soft-matter thin Films Material
  <sup>465</sup> Science and Basic Research, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics 148,
  <sup>466</sup> Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [42] B. Ocko, X. Wu, E. Sirota, S. Sinha, M. D., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994)
   242.
- <sup>469</sup> [43] K. Kjaer, Physica B 198 (1994) 100.
- <sup>470</sup> [44] H. Dosch, Phys. Rev. B. 35 (1987) 2137.

- [45] J. Als-Nielsen, K. Kjaer, X-ray reflectivity and diffraction studies of
  liquid surfaces and surfactant monolayers, Vol. 211 of The proceedings of
  the Nato Advanced Study Institute, Phase transitions in soft condensed
  Matter, Plenum Press, 1989.
- [46] D. Koningsberger, R. Prins (Eds.), X-ray absorption -Principles, Applications, Techniques of EXAFS, SEXAFS and XANES, John Wiley and
  Sons, New York, 1988.
- [47] R. Frahm, J. Weigelt, G. Meyer, G. Materlik, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 66
   (1995) 1677.
- [48] D. Smilgies, N. Boudet, B. Struth, O. Konovalov, J. Synchroton Radiat.
   12 (2005) 329.
- [49] D. Luetzenkirchen-Hecht, R. Wagner, U. Haake, A. Watenphul,
  R. Frahm, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 16 (2009) 264.
- <sup>484</sup> [50] E. Welter, AIP Conf. Proc. 1234 (2009) 955.
- <sup>485</sup> [51] A. Stefansson, Environ. Sci. Technol. 41 (2007) 6117.
- <sup>486</sup> [52] R. Byrne, Y. Luo, R. Young, Mar. Chem. 70 (2000) 23.
- 487 [53] W. Liu, B. Etschmann, J. Brugger, L. Spiccia, G. Foran, B. McInnes,
   488 Chem. Geol. 231 (2006) 326.
- <sup>439</sup> [54] B. Tagirov, I. Diakonov, O. Devina, A. Zotov, Chem. Geol. 162 (2000)
   <sup>490</sup> 193.
- <sup>491</sup> [55] F. Millero, W. Yao, J. Aicher, Mar. Chem. 50 (1995) 21.
- [56] I. Estrela-Lopis, G. Brezesinski, H. Möhwald, Chem. Phys. Lipids 131
  (2004) 71.
- <sup>494</sup> [57] B. Ravel, M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 12 (2005) 5371.
- [58] R. Sarkar, P. Pal, M. Mahato, T. Kamilya, A. Chaudhuri, G. B. Talapatra, Colloid Surface B 79 (2010) 384.
- <sup>497</sup> [59] S. Kewalramani, H. Hlaing, B. M. Ocko, I. Kuzmenko, M. Fukuto, J.
  <sup>498</sup> Phys. Chem. Lett. 1 (2010) 489.

- <sup>499</sup> [60] S. Cantin, S. Peralta, P. Fontaine, M. Goldmann, F. Perrot, Langmuir
   <sup>500</sup> 26 (2010) 830.
- [61] W. Wang, J. Pleasants, R. Park, I. Kuzmnko, D. Vaknin, J. Colloid. Interf. Sci. 384 (2012) 45.