

Final Draft of the original manuscript:

Zhang, Y.; Lai, S.; Zhao, Z.; Liu, F.; Chen, H.; Zou, S.; Xie, Z.; Ebinghaus, R.: **Spatial distribution of perfluoroalkyl acids in the Pearl River of Southern China**

In: Chemosphere (2013) Elsevier

DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.07.060

1 Spatial Distribution of Perfluoroalkyl Acids in the Pearl

2 **River of Southern China**

- 3 Yingyi Zhang¹, Senchao Lai^{1,*}, Zhen Zhao^{2,3}, Fobang Liu⁴, Hongwei Chen⁵, Shichun
- 4 Zou⁴, Zhiyong Xie^{2*}, and Ralf Ebinghaus²
- 5 1. College of Environment and Energy, South China University of Technology,
- 6 Guangzhou, China
- 7 2. Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Centre for Materials and Coastal Research,
- 8 Institute of Coastal Research, Geesthacht, Germany
- 9 3. Key Laboratory of Coastal Zone Environmental Processes, Yantai Institute of
- 10 Coastal Zone Research, CAS, Yantai, China
- 11 4. School of Marine Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
- 12 5. School of Chemistry & Environmental Engineering, Dongguan University of
- 13 Technology, Dongguan, China
- 14
- 15 *Corresponding author. Tel.:+49-4152-872330; Fax: +49-4152-872332.
- 16 E-mail: <u>zhiyong.xie@hzg.de</u>
- 17 *Corresponding author. Tel.:+86-13570974216
- 18 Email: <u>lai.senchao@gmail.com</u>
- 19
- 20
- 21

23 An intensive campaign was conducted in September 2012 to collect surface water samples along the tributaries of the Pearl River in southern China. Thirteen 24 25 perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs), including perfluorocarboxylates (PFCAs, C4-C11) and perfluorosulfonates (PFSAs, C4, C6-C8, and C10), were determined using 26 27 high-performance liquid chromatography/negative electrospray ionization-tandem 28 mass spectrometry (HPLC/(-)ESI-MS/MS). The concentrations of total PFAAs 29 (Σ PFAAs) ranged from 3.0 to 52 ng/L, with an average of 19 ± 12 ng/L. The highest 30 concentrations of Σ PFAAs were detected in the surface water of the Dong Jiang 31 tributary (17-52 ng/L), followed by the main stream (13-25 ng/L) and the Sha Wan 32 Stream (3.0-4.5 ng/L). Perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBS), 33 and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) were the three most abundant PFAAs and on 34 average accounted for 20%, 24%, and 19% of Σ PFAAs, respectively. PFBS was the 35 most abundant PFAA in the Dong Jiang tributary, and PFOA was the highest PFAA 36 in the samples from the main stream of the Pearl River. A correlation was found 37 between PFBS and PFOA, which suggests that both of these PFAAs originate from 38 common source(s) in the region. Nevertheless, the slope of PFBS/PFOA was different 39 in the different tributaries sampled, which indicates a spatial difference in the source 40 profiles of the PFAAs.

41



43 **1. Introduction**

Perfluorinated alkyl substances (PFASs) have been widely produced during 44 45 anthropogenic processes over the last 60 years (OECD, 2002; Ahrens, 2011) and are 46 used as fluoropolymer additives and surface coatings for textiles, furniture, and paper 47 products (Paul et al., 2009). Currently, PFASs are ubiquitous organic compounds in 48 various environments, i.e., atmosphere, aquatic bodies, soil, and organisms (Houde et 49 al., 2006; Busch et al., 2010b; Goosey and Harrad, 2012; Zhao et al., 2012). In the 50 past decades, the occurrence, transport, and fate of PFASs in the environment have 51 been studied due to their environmental persistency, bioaccumulation, and potential 52 adverse effects on the health of humans and wildlife (Houde et al., 2005; Pan and 53 You, 2010; Bao et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012).

54 Perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs), including perfluorocarboxylates (PFCAs) and 55 perfluorosulfonates (PFSAs), are the most important forms of PFASs in the environment. Previous studies have reported that PFAAs can be detected in water 56 57 samples, including tap water (Jin et al., 2009; Llorca et al., 2012), lake water (Delinsky et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2012), river water (So et al., 2007; Wang et al., 58 59 2012), and sea water (Yamashita et al., 2005; Ahrens et al., 2010). The direct source 60 of PFAAs in an aquatic environment includes air deposition, precipitation, discharge 61 from water treatment processes (Ahrens et al., 2009a), release during a PFAA-related 62 manufacturing process (Paul et al., 2009), leaching of landfills (Busch et al., 2010a), 63 and contaminated runoff (Cai et al., 2012). Public concerns that have focused on the

pollution of PFAAs have led to the phase-out of some PFAA species, such as
perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS). The European Union started to phase out PFOS in
2008, and this compound was later included in the list of Persistent Organic Pollutants
(POPs) of the Stockholm Convention in 2009.

68 China plays an important role in global production. On an annual basis, a large amount of PFAAs are manufactured (e.g., 200 t PFOSF in 2006; Ministry of 69 70 Environmental Protection of China, 2008), and the contamination of PFAAs in 71 aquatic systems has been observed (So et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2009; Bao et al., 2012; 72 Sun et al., 2012). The Pearl River is the third longest river in China, and its tributaries 73 run through four provinces of southern China. The Pearl River Delta (PRD) region is 74 located in Guangdong Province and is one of the fastest developing regions of China. 75 In the past decades, industries related to the manufacture, application, and disposal of 76 PFASs have been emerging in this region, which has resulted in the aquatic system 77 being highly contaminated (So et al., 2007; Bao et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011). 78 The objectives of this study were (1) to investigate the concentrations and distribution 79 of PFAAs in the surface water of the Pearl River, (2) to compare the results with 80 previous studies, and (3) to understand the contamination and the sources of PFAAs 81 in the tributaries of the Pearl River.

- 82 **2.** Materials and methods
- 83 2.1 Sampling

84 The sampling of surface water was conducted along the tributaries of the Pearl River in September 2012. Nineteen samples were collected in the main stream (MS, 10 85 samples denoted W7-W16), the Dong Jiang tributary (DJ, means East River, 6 86 87 samples denoted W1-W6), and the Sha Wan stream (SW, 3 samples denoted 88 W17-W19; see Figure 1). The six DJ samples were collected from three sub-tributaries: the North sub-tributary (W1 and W2), the Dan Shui stream (W3 and 89 W4), and the South sub-tributary (W5 and W6). Five hundred milliliters of surface 90 91 water were collected in glass bottles, which were precleaned by rinsing with Milli-Q 92 water (18 M Ω , Millipore) and methanol in the laboratory. The glass bottles were 93 prebaked in the oven before sampling to remove any organic contamination. The 94 water samples were stored at 4°C in the dark. The samples were filtrated using glass 95 fiber filters (Ø47 mm, 0.45 µm, Whatman, UK) before extraction.

96 **2**

2.2 Chemicals and standards

97 Thirteen PFAAs, including PFCAs (C4-C11) and PFSAs (C4, C6-C8 and C10), were 98 analyzed (see Table 1). Nine mass-labeled PFSAs were used as the internal standard (IS) mixture, i.e., ¹³C-labeled ionic PFSAs and ¹⁸O-labeled perfluorohexane sulfonate 99 (PFHxS). 2H-perfluoro-[1,2-¹³C₂]-2-decenoic acid (8:2 FTUCA) was used as the 100 101 injection standard (InjS; Table 1). Methanol (SupraSolv) and ammonium hydroxide 102 (25%, Suprapur) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The methanol was distilled in a glass apparatus before use, and the Milli-Q water was precleaned 103 104 through cartridges (see Section 2.3) to remove any residual PFAAs in the water.

105 **2.3 Extraction and analysis**

The filtrates were solid-phase extracted (SPE) using glass funnels and SPE cartridges. 106 Oasis WAX cartridges (150 mg, 6 cm³, 30 mm, Waters) were used for SPE. The 107 108 pretreatment of the cartridges is described elsewhere (Zhao et al., 2012). After being 109 spiked with 1 ng IS (50 pg/ μ L, 20 μ L), the filtrate was loaded onto the cartridge at a 110 rate of 2 drops per second. The cartridge was then washed with 10 mL of Milli-Q 111 water to remove the salt and dried by a vacuum pump to achieve a better recovery. 112 The dried cartridges were eluted using 10 mL of methanol with 0.1% ammonium 113 hydroxide. The elutes were reduced to 150 µL under a gentle stream of nitrogen 114 (>99.999%). Before being injected into the instrument, 1 ng (50 µL, 20 pg/µL) of 115 mass-labeled 8:2 FTUCA as the InjS was spiked into the vials. 116 The instrumental analysis was performed using a high-performance liquid

110 The instrumental analysis was performed using a high-performance inquid 117 chromatography-negative electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry system 118 (HPLC-(-)ESI-MS/MS) with an HP1100 HPLC system (Agilent, Germany) coupled 119 to an API 3000 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS 120 SCIEX). The instrumental setup is described elsewhere (Ahrens et al., 2009b).

121 **2.4 Quality assurance and quality control**

The method detection limit (MDL), recovery of each spiked sample, matrix spike recoveries, field blank, and duplicate samples were measured. The results are shown in Table 1. The MDLs were calculated at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 10, which ranged from 0.02 ng/L (for both PFDA and PFUnDA) to 0.14 ng/L for PFBA. The 126 overall recoveries varied from $51\pm21\%$ for $[^{13}C_4]$ -PFOS to $78\pm20\%$ for 127 $[^{18}O_2]$ -PFHxS. The concentrations were corrected by the recoveries of IS in every 128 sample.

129

130 **3. Results and discussion**

131 Thirteen PFAAs were measured in the 19 surface water samples of Pearl River (Table 2). PFBA, PFPA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, and PFOS were detected in all of 132 133 the samples. PFNA, PFDA, PFHxS, and PFDS were detectable in 18 of the 19 134 samples, whereas PFHpS and PFUnDA were measured in 16 and 11 samples, 135 respectively. The concentration of the total PFAA concentration (SPFAAs) was 136 ranged from 3.0 to 52 ng/L, with an average of 19±12 ng/L. PFBS, PFOA, and PFOS 137 were the most abundant PFAAs in the samples and accounted for 24%, 20%, and 19% 138 of the Σ PFAAs.

139 **3.1 Spatial distribution**

The spatial distribution of the PFAA concentrations is shown in Table 3. The highest concentrations of Σ PFAAs were measured in the DJ samples, which exhibited an average of 29±16 ng/L, followed by the MS samples (18±4.2 ng/L) and the SW samples (3.9±0.83 ng/L). DJ has three sub-tributaries running through the city of Dongguan, which is one of the most industrialized cities in the PRD region. A large number of industries have been built in the past three decades, and many of these, e.g., clothing, electronics, furniture, paper, and paper package making, are possible

147	sources of PFAAs (Yearbook Dongguan 2011). In 2010, the industrial production in
148	Dongguan increased by 20%, and more than 60% of this increase was due to
149	electronics, clothing, furniture manufacturing, production of packaging materials, and
150	chemical production (Yearbook Dongguan 2011). The industries in Dongguan are
151	considered the major sources of PFAA in the DJ samples. The highest and the second
152	highest concentrations of Σ PFAAs were detected in W6 (52 ng/L) and W5 (46 ng/L),
153	respectively. These two samples were collected from the south sub-tributary of DJ,
154	where the electronic and clothing industries were located. In 2010, the production
155	value of both of these industries exhibited increases of 29.50% and 17.14%,
156	respectively, in the town closest to this sub-tributary (Yearbook Dongguan 2011). The
157	concentrations of Σ PFAAs in these two samples were more than twofold higher than
158	those measured in the other DJ samples, which exhibited an average of 19 ng/L. The
159	highest concentrations of PFHpA (1.5 ng/L), PFNA (1.4 ng/L), and PFHpS (15 ng/L)
160	were measured in W5, and the three most abundant PFAAs in this sample were PFBS
161	(35%), PFHpS (32%), and PFOA (12%). The highest concentrations of PFBA (3.3
162	ng/L), PFPA (2.1 ng/L), PFHxA (2.6 ng/L), PFDA (0.64 ng/L), PFBS (23 ng/L),
163	PFHxS (1.0 ng/L), and PFOS (11 ng/L) were detected in W6. With the exception of
164	W5, PFBS (28-44%) and PFOS (18-24%) were the two most abundant PFAA species
165	in the DJ samples. Based on previous results in the same region (So et al., 2007), the
166	concentration of PFBS has increased in the past years, whereas the concentrations of
167	PFOS has markedly decreased (See Table 4). A recent study also showed a higher

PFBS concentration in the river sediment of the Pearl River compared with the Yangtze River (Bao et al., 2011). This difference could be due to the global phase-out of PFOS and the use of PFBS as a substitute for PFOS-based products. PFBS is also related to the increasing production of n-methyl perfluorobutanesulfonamidoethanol (MeFBSE) and its related products with four perfluorinated carbons (D'Eon et al., 2006).

174 The MS samples were collected along more than 100 km of the course of the Pearl 175 River, and the concentration of Σ PFAAs in these samples ranged from 13 ng/L to 26 176 ng/L. Higher ΣPFAAs concentrations were detected in the river course in Guangzhou 177 city (i.e., samples W10 through W13, range of 19 to 26 ng/L) compared with the 178 upstream MS (15-18 ng/L) and downstream MS samples (13-16 ng/L). The highest 179 concentrations of Σ PFAAs were measured in W13 and W12 (26 and 24 ng/L, 180 respectively). The locations of these samples are close to downtown Guangzhou, and 181 two municipal waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) are located nearby. Since 2008, 182 most of the industries have moved out of Guangzhou (Yearbook Guangzhou 2011). 183 The waste water discharge in this urban area could be the major source for PFAAs in 184 the MS of the Pearl River. Guangzhou is a growing megacity in China with a population of more than 15 million. Each day, 4.65×10^6 t of domestic waste water is 185 186 treated in Guangzhou, and more than 90% is treated in municipal WWTPs (Yearbook Guangzhou 2011). WWTPs could be major point sources of PFAAs because the 187 188 treatment processes cannot efficiently remove PFAAs (Ahrens et al., 2009a; Bao et

189	al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012). Moreover, wet deposition in the region could also be
190	another possible source due to the frequent precipitation in the region during the
191	summer months (Liu et al., 2009). PFOA, PFBS, and PFOS were the three most
192	abundant PFAAs in the MS samples. In the urban MS samples, PFOA was the most
193	abundant PFAA (25-40%) with a concentration range of 4.9-8.7 ng/L, which is higher
194	than that obtained in the upstream (3.0-4.8 ng/L) and downstream MS samples
195	(2.4-3.2 ng/L). The downstream MS samples (W7-W9) were collected after the
196	confluence of MS and the sub-tributaries of DJ, and the concentration of Σ PFAAs was
197	in the range of 14-16 ng/L, which is lower than that obtained in the urban MS and DJ
198	samples. PFBS was the most abundant PFAA (26-31%) in the downstream MS
199	samples, which may imply the strong influence of DJ after confluence.
200	The lowest concentrations were measured in the SW samples. The concentration of
201	Σ PFAAs in these samples ranged from 3.0 to 4.5 ng/L, which is much lower than that
202	obtained in the MS and DJ samples. These results were consistent with those obtained
203	in a previous study, which showed that this tributary was less polluted by PFAAs (So
204	et al., 2007). This tributary runs through a less-developed area with a lower industry
205	and population density. PFOS and PFOA were the major PFAAs in the SW samples
206	and accounted for 17-35% and 19-24% of the total PFAA concentrations,
207	respectively.

3.2 Source identification

The sources of PFAAs in a river can be many. Point sources include the waste water discharge of industries and WWTPs, whereas landfill leachate, dry or wet atmospheric deposition, and soil/street surface runoff are suggested as non-point sources (Ahrens, 2011).

213 A Spearman rank correlation analysis was conducted between the PFAAs analyzed in 214 this study. Significant positive correlations were observed between the C4-C10 215 PFCAs. With the exception of PFHpS, the other PFSAs also exhibited significant 216 correlations with each other. PFHpS was also positively correlated with PFHxA, 217 PFHpA, PFNA, PFBS, and PFHxS, which suggests that PFHpS may have other 218 potential sources. Of the three most abundant PFAAs (i.e., PFOA, PFBS, and PFOS), 219 PFOA has weak correlation with PFBS (r = 0.376) and PFOS (r = 0.410), and PFBS 220 has strong correlation with PFOS (r = 0.722), which suggests that PFBS and PFOS 221 may have common sources.

222 The relationship between the concentrations of PFBS and PFOA was further 223 analyzed. Linear correlations were found when the data were separated into two 224 groups (See Fig. 4). Group 1 includes the DJ and the downstream MS samples 225 (W7-W9), which indicates the influence of the DJ tributary. Group 2 includes the rest 226 of the samples, i.e., the upstream MS, urban MS, and SW samples. The strong 227 correlations found in our data showed that PFOA and PFBS might originate from common sources. However, the different slope of PFBS/PFOA suggests a different 228 229 source profile of PFAAs in the tributaries of the Pearl River. The higher slope of

230 PFBS/PFOA observed in Group 1 may be attributed to industry-related sources, as 231 discussed above. The manufacture and usage of PFOA and its related chemicals have 232 been effectively limited after the voluntary stewardship program launched by the US 233 Environmental Protection Agency in 2006 (US Environmental Protection Agency, 234 2006). The use of short-chain PFSAs (e.g., PFBS) as substitutes after the phase-out of 235 PFOS might explain the increase in the PFBS concentration. Similar results have been 236 observed in other rivers, such as the Rheine River in Germany (Möller et al., 2010). 237 Some previous studies have focused on the profiles of PFAAs in point sources, such 238 as WWTPs and specific industries (Ahrens et al., 2009a; Lin et al., 2009; Chen et al., 239 2012). However, this type of work is still scarce and needs to be conducted in the 240 PRD region. Thus, further research should be conducted to understand the difference 241 in the slope of PFBS/PFOA in the different tributaries of the Pearl River and to 242 investigate the source profiles of PFAAs from specific point sources.

243

244 **3.3 Comparison with other studies**

The comparison of the concentrations of Σ PFAAs, PFOS, PFBS, and PFOA in the rivers is shown in Table 4. The mean concentrations of Σ PFAAs were lower than those found in a previous study conducted in the Pearl River in November 2004 (34 ng/L), whereas the range of the PFOA concentration was comparable. The PFOS concentration exhibited a large decrease in the industrial area in 2012, whereas the PFOS level in the less-developed region (i.e., SW) was similar. The concentration of 251 PFBS, especially that found in the DJ samples, was higher than that obtained in 252 previous study. Higher PFBS concentrations have been found in sediment samples 253 from the Pearl River compared with the Yangtze River (Bao et al., 2010). This finding 254 indicates the change in the source profiles of PFAAs after the phase-out of 255 PFOS-related products and the production of their substitutes.

256 Compared with the results in the Rhine River, the concentrations of PFAAs in this 257 study were lower than those obtained in the downstream samples (120-270 ng/L) but 258 comparable to those obtained in the upstream samples (4.1-39 ng/L). PFBS was 259 reported to be the predominant PFSA in the River Rhine, and industries were 260 suggested to be the main sources (Möller et al., 2010). Higher concentrations of 261 Σ PFAAs were found in the River Ruhr, where the most abundant PFAA was PFOA, 262 whereas the levels of PFBS and PFOS were similar (Möller et al., 2010). Markedly 263 higher concentrations of PFBS and PFOA were observed in this study compared to 264 those detected in Spanish rivers, although the range of the PFOA concentration was 265 comparable (Sanchez-Avila et al., 2010).

266 **4.** Conclusions

267 PFAAs, including PFCAs and PFSAs, were investigated in the tributaries of the Pearl

River in southern China. The concentration of ΣPFAAs was in the range of 3.0 to 52 ng/L, which is comparable to that obtained in a previous study in the Pearl River that was conducted in November 2004. A decrease in the PFOS concentration and an increase in the PFBS concentration were observed. These differences were due to the

272 global phase-out of PFOS and the rise in the manufacturing and consumption of 273 PFOS substitutes, such as PFBS. The highest concentration range of PFAAs was 274 observed in DJ, which is one of the tributaries of the Pearl River. The industries in the 275 city of Dongguan are likely the major sources of the relatively high levels of PFAAs 276 and the predominance of PFBS. In the MS samples, the contributions of urban point sources (WWTPs) and non-point sources (precipitation) were suggested. The surface 277 278 water in the SW stream was found to be less contaminated by PFAAs. Linear 279 correlations between PFBS and PFOA were found in the tributaries of the Pearl River, 280 but these exhibited different PFBS/PFOA slopes, which indicate the different source 281 profiles of PFAAs in the tributaries of the Pearl River.

282

283 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Feng Zeng at Sun Yat-sen University and Mr.
Jianzhen Tang at South China University of Technology for their help with the
laboratory preparation and sampling.

287

288 References

289 (OECD), O.f.E.C.-o.a.D., 2002. Hazard assessment of perfluorooctane sulfonate

290 (PFOS) and its salts.

Ahrens, L., 2011. Polyfluoroalkyl compounds in the aquatic environment: a review of

their occurrence and fate. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 13, 20-31.

293	Ahrens, I	L.,]	Felizeter,	S.,	Sturm,	R.,	Xie,	Z.Y.,	Ebinghau	s, R.,	2009a.	Pol	yfluoi	rinate	d
	,		,	,	, , , ,		, -,	,	- 0	- , - ;					

- 294 compounds in waste water treatment plant effluents and surface waters along the
- 295 River Elbe, Germany. Marine Pollution Bulletin 58, 1326-1333.
- Ahrens, L., Gerwinski, W., Theobald, N., Ebinghaus, R., 2010. Sources of
- 297 polyfluoroalkyl compounds in the North Sea, Baltic Sea and Norwegian Sea:
- 298 Evidence from their spatial distribution in surface water. Marine Pollution Bulletin
- 60, 255-260.
- 300 Ahrens, L., Plassmann, M., Xie, Z.Y., Ebinghaus, R., 2009b. Determination of
- 301 polyfluoroalkyl compounds in water and suspended particulate matter in the river
- 302 Elbe and North Sea, Germany. Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering in
- 303 China 3, 152-170.
- 304 Bao, J., Liu, W., Liu, L., Jin, Y., Ran, X., Zhang, Z., 2010. Perfluorinated compounds
- 305 in urban river sediments from Guangzhou and Shanghai of China. Chemosphere 80,
- 306 123-130.
- 307 Bao, J., Liu, W., Liu, L., Jin, Y.H., Dai, J.Y., Ran, X.R., Zhang, Z.X., Tsuda, S.,
- 308 2011. Perfluorinated Compounds in the Environment and the Blood of Residents
- 309 Living near Fluorochemical Plants in Fuxin, China. Environmental Science &
- 310 Technology 45, 8075-8080.
- 311 Bao, L.J., Maruya, K.A., Snyder, S.A., Zeng, E.Y., 2012. China's water pollution by
- 312 persistent organic pollutants. Environ. Pollut. 163, 100-108.

- 313 Busch, J., Ahrens, L., Sturm, R., Ebinghaus, R., 2010a. Polyfluoroalkyl compounds in
- 314 landfill leachates. Environ. Pollut. 158, 1467-1471.
- 315 Busch, J., Ahrens, L., Xie, Z.Y., Sturm, R., Ebinghaus, R., 2010b. Polyfluoroalkyl
- 316 compounds in the East Greenland Arctic Ocean. Journal of Environmental Monitoring
- 317 12, 1242-1246.
- 318 Cai, M.H., Yang, H.Z., Xie, Z.Y., Zhao, Z., Wang, F., Lu, Z.B., Sturm, R., Ebinghaus,
- 319 R., 2012. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in snow, lake, surface runoff water and
- 320 coastal seawater in Fildes Peninsula, King George Island, Antarctica. Journal of
- Hazardous Materials 209, 335-342.
- 322 Chen, H., Zhang, C., Han, J.B., Yu, Y.X., Zhang, P., 2012. PFOS and PFOA in
- 323 influents, effluents, and biosolids of Chinese wastewater treatment plants and
- 324 effluent-receiving marine environments. Environ. Pollut. 170, 26-31.
- 325 D'Eon, J.C., Hurley, M.D., Wallington, T.J., Mabury, S.A., 2006. Atmospheric
- 326 chemistry of N-methyl perfluorobutane sulfonamidoethanol,
- 327 $C_4F_9SO_2N(CH_3)CH_2CH_2OH$: Kinetics and mechanism of reaction with OH.
- 328 Environmental Science & Technology 40, 1862-1868.
- 329 Delinsky, A.D., Strynar, M.J., McCann, P.J., Varns, J.L., McMillan, L., Nakayama,
- 330 S.F., Lindstrom, A.B., 2010. Geographical Distribution of Perfluorinated Compounds
- in Fish from Minnesota Lakes and Rivers. Environmental Science & Technology 44,
- 332 2549-2554.

- 333 Goosey, E., Harrad, S., 2012. Perfluoroalkyl substances in UK indoor and outdoor air:
- 334 Spatial and seasonal variation, and implications for human exposure. Environment
- 335 International 45, 86-90.
- Houde, M., Martin, J.W., Letcher, R.J., Solomon, K.R., Muir, D.C.G., 2006.
- 337 Biological monitoring of polyfluoroalkyl substances: A review. Environmental
- 338 Science & Technology 40, 3463-3473.
- 339 Houde, M., Wells, R.S., Fair, P.A., Bossart, G.D., Hohn, A.A., Rowles, T.K.,
- 340 Sweeney, J.C., Solomon, K.R., Muir, D.C.G., 2005. Polyfluoroalkyl compounds in
- 341 free-ranging bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) from the Gulf of Mexico and
- 342 the Atlantic Ocean. Environmental Science & Technology 39, 6591-6598.
- Jin, Y.H., Liu, W., Sato, I., Nakayama, S.F., Sasaki, K., Saito, N., Tsuda, S., 2009.
- 344 PFOS and PFOA in environmental and tap water in China. Chemosphere 77, 605-611.
- Li, F., Sun, H., Hao, Z., He, N., Zhao, L., Zhang, T., Sun, T., 2011. Perfluorinated
- 346 compounds in Haihe River and Dagu Drainage Canal in Tianjin, China. Chemosphere
- 347 84, 265-271.
- 348 Lin, A.Y.C., Panchangam, S.C., Lo, C.C., 2009. The impact of semiconductor,
- 349 electronics and optoelectronic industries on downstream perfluorinated chemical
- 350 contamination in Taiwanese rivers. Environ. Pollut. 157, 1365-1372.
- Liu, W., Jin, Y., Quan, X., Sasaki, K., Saito, N., Nakayama, S.F., Sato, I., Tsuda, S.,
- 352 2009. Perfluorosulfonates and perfluorocarboxylates in snow and rain in Dalian,
- 353 China. Environment International 35, 737-742.

- 354 Llorca, M., Farre, M., Pico, Y., Muller, J., Knepper, T.P., Barcelo, D., 2012. Analysis
- 355 of perfluoroalkyl substances in waters from Germany and Spain. Science of the Total
- 356 Environment 431, 139-150.
- 357 Möller, A., Ahrens, L., Surm, R., Westerveld, J., van der Wielen, F., Ebinghaus, R.,
- de Voogt, P., 2010. Distribution and sources of polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in
- the River Rhine watershed. Environ. Pollut. 158, 3243-3250.
- 360 Müller, C.E., Gerecke, A.C., Bogdal, C., Wang, Z.Y., Scheringer, M., Hungerbuhler,
- 361 K., 2012. Atmospheric fate of poly- and perfluorinated alkyl substances (PFASs): I.
- 362 Day-night patterns of air concentrations in summer in Zurich, Switzerland. Environ.
- 363 Pollut. 169, 196-203.
- 364 Ministry of Environmental Protection of China, 2008. Preliminary Information on
- 365 Risk Management Evaluation of PFOS's in China
- 366 (http://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/Repository/comments_draftRME2008/UNEP-POPS-PO
- 367 PRC-DRME-08-CHI-SCCP.English.PDF).
- 368 Pan, G., You, C., 2010. Sediment-water distribution of perfluorooctane sulfonate
- 369 (PFOS) in Yangtze River Estuary. Environ. Pollut. 158, 1363-1367.
- 370 Paul, A.G., Jones, K.C., Sweetman, A.J., 2009. A First Global Production, Emission,
- 371 And Environmental Inventory For Perfluorooctane Sulfonate. Environmental Science
- 372 & Technology 43, 386-392.

- 373 Sanchez-Avila, J., Meyer, J., Lacorte, S., 2010. Spatial distribution and sources of
- 374 perfluorochemicals in the NW Mediterranean coastal waters (Catalonia, Spain).
- 375 Environ. Pollut. 158, 2833-2840.
- 376 So, M.K., Miyake, Y., Yeung, W.Y., Ho, Y.M., Taniyasu, S., Rostkowski, P.,
- 377 Yamashita, N., Zhou, B.S., Shi, X.J., Wang, J.X., Giesy, J.P., Yu, H., Lam, P.K.S.,
- 378 2007. Perfluorinated compounds in the Pearl River and Yangtze River of China.
- 379 Chemosphere 68, 2085-2095.
- 380 Sun, H.W., Zhang, X.Z., Wang, L., Zhang, T., Li, F.S., He, N., Alder, A., 2012.
- 381 Perfluoroalkyl compounds in municipal WWTPs in Tianjin, China-concentrations,
- 382 distribution and mass flow. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 19,
- 383 1405-1415.
- 384 US Environmental Protection Agency, 2006. PFOA Stewardship Program. Docket
- 385 EPA-HQ-OPPT-2006-0621.
- 386 Wang, T.Y., Khim, J.S., Chen, C.L., Naile, J.E., Lu, Y.L., Kannan, K., Park, J., Luo,
- 387 W., Jiao, W.T., Hu, W.Y., Giesy, J.P., 2012. Perfluorinated compounds in surface
- 388 waters from Northern China: Comparison to level of industrialization. Environment
- 389 International 42, 37-46.
- 390 Yamashita, N., Kannan, K., Taniyasu, S., Horii, Y., Petrick, G., Gamo, T., 2005. A
- 391 global survey of perfluorinated acids in oceans. Marine Pollution Bulletin 51,
- **392 658-668**.

- 393 Zhang, K., Zhang, B.Z., Li, S.M., Zeng, E.Y., 2011. Regional dynamics of persistent
- 394 organic pollutants (POPs) in the Pearl River Delta, China: Implications and
- 395 perspectives. Environ. Pollut. 159, 2301-2309.
- 396 Zhao, Z., Xie, Z.Y., Moller, A., Sturm, R., Tang, J.H., Zhang, G., Ebinghaus, R.,
- 397 2012. Distribution and long-range transport of polyfluoroalkyl substances in the
- 398 Arctic, Atlantic Ocean and Antarctic coast. Environ. Pollut. 170, 71-77.
- 399 Zhou, Z., Shi, Y.L., Li, W.H., Xu, L., Cai, Y.Q., 2012. Perfluorinated Compounds in
- 400 Surface Water and Organisms from Baiyangdian Lake in North China: Source
- 401 Profiles, Bioaccumulation and Potential Risk. Bulletin of Environmental
- 402 Contamination and Toxicology 89, 519-524.
- 403

404

406	List of Figure Captions
407	Figure 1. Map of the sampling locations in the tributaries of the Pearl River.
408	Figure 2. Concentration of PFAAs measured in the surface water samples of the Pearl
409	River.
410	Figure 3. Composition profiles of PFAAs in the surface water samples of the Pearl
411	River.
412	Figure 4. Linear correlation between PFOA and PFBS in the surface water samples of
413	the Pearl River.
414	
415	
416	







Figure 2.









Figure 4.

Table 1. Analytes, acronym, precursor, and product ion for HPLC-MS/MS detection, method detection limit (MDL), and recoveries of internal standard in the

2 water samples.

Analyte Acrony		Precursor/	MDL	Internal standard	Acronym	Precursor/	Recovery
		product ion [m/z]	(ng/L)			product ion [m/z]	(%)
Perfluorobutane sulfonate	PFBS	298.877/ 79.8	0.03	Perfluoro-n-(1,2,3,4- ¹³ C4)butanoic acid	[¹³ C4]-PFBA	216.823/171.8	61±22%
Perfluorohexane sulfonate	PFHxS	398.894/ 79.8	0.03	Perfluoro-1-hexane[¹⁸ O2]sulfonate	[¹⁸ O2]-PFHxS	402.981/83.9	78±25%
Perfluoroheptane sulfonate	PFHpS	449.034/ 79.3	0.07	Perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4-13C4]octanesulfonate	[¹³ C4]-PFOS	502.899/ 79.5	51±21%
Perfluorooctane sulfonate	PFOS	498.971/79.7	0.07	Perfluoro-n-(1,2- ¹³ C2)hexanoic acid	[¹³ C2]-PFHxA	314.891/269.9	75±20%
Perfluorodecane sulfonate	PFDS	598.896/79.5	0.05	Perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4-13C4]octanoic acid	[¹³ C4]-PFOA	416.978/ 371.8	73±20%
Perfluorobutanoic acid	PFBA	112.900/ 168.7	0.14	Perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4,5- ¹³ C5]nonanoic acid	[¹³ C5]-PFNA	467.907/ 423.0	64±25%
Perfluoropentanoic acid	PFPA	262.825/218.9	0.05	Perfluoro-n-[1,2- ¹³ C2]decanoic acid	[¹³ C2]-PFDA	514.944/ 469.8	60±23%
Perfluorohexanoic acid	PFHxA	312.934/ 268.8	0.09	Perfluoro-n-[1,2-13C2]undecanoic acid	[¹³ C2]-PFUnDA	564.959/ 519.8	76±20%
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	PFHpA	862.980/818.9		Perfluoro-n-[1,2-13C2]dodecanoic acid	[¹³ C2]-PFDoDA	614.913/ 569.9	65±22%
Perfluorooctanoic acid	PFOA	412.987/ 368.9	0.07	2H-perfluoro-[1,2- ¹³ C2]-2-decenoic acid	8:2 FTUCA*	589.015/ 418.7	60±20%
Perfluorononanoic acid	PFNA	462.908/418.9	0.03				
Perfluorodecanoic acid	PFDA	512.876/ 469.0	0.02				
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	PFUnDA	562.865/ 519.0	0.02				
Perfluorododecanoic acid	PFDoDA	612.991/ 568.9	0.05				
Perfluorotridecanoic acid	PFTriDA	663.094/ 618.9	0.04				
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	PFTeDA	713.036/ 669.0	0.04				

			、 L	, ,		1								
Sample	PFBA	PFPA	PFHxA	PFHpA	PFOA	PFNA	PFDA	PFUnDA	PFBS	PFHxS	PFHpS	PFOS	PFDS	ΣPFAAs
W1	1.2	1.3	0.84	0.55	2.6	0.58	0.35	0.09	7.2	0.20	0.08	3.9	<mdl< td=""><td>18</td></mdl<>	18
W2	2.50	1.25	1.08	0.74	3.4	0.59	0.31	0.07	7.5	0.32	0.09	3.8	<mdl< td=""><td>22</td></mdl<>	22
W3	2.60	1.31	1.13	0.75	2.8	0.59	0.36	<mdl< td=""><td>5.3</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.05</td><td>3.9</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>19</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	5.3	0.35	0.05	3.9	<mdl< td=""><td>19</td></mdl<>	19
W4	1.43	1.31	0.95	0.58	2.4	0.63	0.30	0.07	5.3	0.17	0.05	4.1	<mdl< td=""><td>17</td></mdl<>	17
W5	1.00	1.14	2.33	1.45	5.4	1.4	0.29	0.08	16	0.81	14	1.4	0.03	46
W6	3.34	2.06	2.58	1.30	5.5	1.0	0.64	0.10	23	1.0	1.0	11	<mdl< th=""><th>52</th></mdl<>	52
W7	1.26	0.93	0.87	0.61	2.4	0.54	0.24	0.07	4.5	0.20	0.09	2.9	<mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<>	15
W8	1.60	0.96	0.83	0.66	2.4	0.53	0.25	<mdl< td=""><td>3.5</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.06</td><td>2.4</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>13</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	3.5	0.23	0.06	2.4	<mdl< td=""><td>13</td></mdl<>	13
W9	1.24	1.02	0.90	0.86	3.2	0.69	0.31	0.07	4.5	0.33	0.05	2.9	<mdl< td=""><td>16</td></mdl<>	16
W10	1.56	1.21	1.22	0.96	8.7	0.62	0.36	<mdl< td=""><td>3.3</td><td>0.39</td><td>0.06</td><td>3.2</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>22</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	3.3	0.39	0.06	3.2	<mdl< td=""><td>22</td></mdl<>	22
W11	1.95	1.58	1.18	0.93	4.9	0.76	0.34	<mdl< td=""><td>3.4</td><td>0.47</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.4</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>19</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	3.4	0.47	<mdl< td=""><td>3.4</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>19</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	3.4	<mdl< td=""><td>19</td></mdl<>	19
W12	2.39	1.84	1.41	1.01	5.9	1.0	0.50	0.10	4.7	0.65	0.06	4.5	<mdl< td=""><td>24</td></mdl<>	24
W13	2.29	1.93	1.47	0.81	7.2	0.81	0.37	0.08	6.2	0.44	0.09	4.0	<mdl< td=""><td>26</td></mdl<>	26
W14	2.09	1.49	1.17	0.80	3.3	0.70	0.31	0.09	2.1	0.40	0.05	3.4	<mdl< td=""><td>16</td></mdl<>	16
W15	1.80	0.98	1.03	0.71	3.0	0.68	0.31	<mdl< td=""><td>2.6</td><td>0.47</td><td>0.06</td><td>3.0</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	2.6	0.47	0.06	3.0	<mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<>	15
W16	2.12	1.73	1.15	0.89	4.8	0.75	0.30	0.09	2.6	0.43	0.06	3.0	<mdl< td=""><td>18</td></mdl<>	18
W17	0.44	0.46	0.20	0.30	0.87	0.17	0.08	<mdl< td=""><td>0.91</td><td>0.07</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>1.0</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>4.5</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.91	0.07	<mdl< td=""><td>1.0</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>4.5</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	1.0	<mdl< td=""><td>4.5</td></mdl<>	4.5
W18	0.46	0.40	0.17	0.30	0.71	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.42</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.52</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.0</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.42</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.52</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.0</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>0.42</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.52</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.0</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.42	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.52</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.0</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>0.52</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.0</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.52	<mdl< td=""><td>3.0</td></mdl<>	3.0
W19	0.20	0.37	0.22	0.23	0.85	0.21	0.09	<mdl< th=""><th>0.48</th><th>0.05</th><th>0.05</th><th>1.6</th><th><mdl< th=""><th>4.3</th></mdl<></th></mdl<>	0.48	0.05	0.05	1.6	<mdl< th=""><th>4.3</th></mdl<>	4.3

Table 2. Concentration of PFAAs (ng/L) in the surface water samples of the Pearl River Delta.

Location	Sub-tributary	Sample	Mean of ∑PFAAs (ng/L)	Range (ng/L)	Predominant PFAA (ng/L)	
	North	2	20±2.0	19-22	PFBS(7.4)	
Dong Jiang (DJ)	Dan Shui	2	18±1.2	17-19	PFBS(5.3)	
	South	2	49±4.8	46-52	PFBS(19)	
	Upstream	3	16±1.6	15-18	PFOA(3.7)	
Main stream (MS)	Urban Guangzhou	4	23±3.0	19-26	PFOA(6.7)	
	Downstream	3	15±1.3	13-16.	PFBS(4.2)	
Sha Wan stream (SW)		3	4.0±0.83	3.0-4.5	PFOS(1.0)	

Table 3. Range and mean concentration of $\sum PFAAs$ and dominant PFAA in the tributaries of the Pearl River.

Location	Sampling year	Number of PFAAs	PFAAs	PFOS	PFBS	PFOA	Reference	
Doorl Divor Chino	2012	12	3.0-52	0.52-11	0.42-23	0.71-8.7	This study	
reall River, China	2012	15	(19)	(3.3)	(5.4)	(3.7)	This study	
Doorl Divor Chino	2004	0	2.24-99.34	0.90-99	< 0.03-3.4	0.85-13	$(S_{0} \text{ at al} 2007)$	
Pearl River, China	2004	9	(20)	(23)	(0.57)	(4.3)	(50 et al., 2007)	
Vonatao Divon Chino	2004	10	30-290	0.62-14	0.96-2.1	22-260	$(S_{0} \text{ at al} 2007)$	
Yangtze River, China	2004	10	(41)	(1.7)	(0.77)	(35)	(50 et al., 2007)	
Haihe River, China	2010	0	12-74 2.0-7.6		4.4-42	$(\mathbf{I}; \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{a}) = 2011$		
		9		(3.7)		(15)	(LI et al., 2011)	
Rhine River	2009	o	4.1-39	1.4-6.4	0.59-6.6	0.61-3.4	$(M\ddot{a})$ at al. 2010)	
(upstream), Germany	2008	2008	0	(21)	(3.7)	(3.2)	(2.1)	(Moher et al., 2010)
Rhine River			120.270	2072	15 120	2241		
(downstream),	2008	8	(191)	5.0-7.5	(45)	2.3-4.1	(Möller et al., 2010)	
Germany			(181)	(4.1)	(45)	(3.1)		
River Ruhr,	2008	Q	65-97	0.89-10	2.9-11	8.9-18	$(\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{n}})$	
Germany	2008	8	(76)	(4.2)	(7.1)	(14.3)	(Moher et al., 2010)	
Spanish Divora	2000	5	2.2-22	< 0.03-0.64	< 0.07-0.88	0.79-9.6	(Sanchez-Avila et al.,	
Spanish Kivers	2009	3	(12)	(5.1)	(0.50)	(5.1)	2010)	

Table 4. Comparison of PFAA concentrations (ng/L; mean value in parentheses) in surface water samples from rivers all over the world.